Introduction to Model Checking of Hybrid Systems

Oleg Sokolsky

CIS 700-002





Model Checking

- Systematic evaluation
 - of formally specified system behaviors
 - with respect to a formally specified property
 - using state space exploration
- Need a model of the system and a property specification
 - Use hybrid systems to represent behaviors
 - Use temporal logics to represent properties
- Verification vs. falsification
 - Verification constructs a proof that every behavior of the system satisfies the property
 - When verification fails, a counterexample is produced

Falsification systematically searches for counterexamples
 Penn
 PRECISE

Outline

- Hybrid systems modeling with hybrid automata
- Property specification with STL
- Set-based reachability analysis
- Simulation-based analysis

A lot of content is borrowed without permission from G. Frehse, E. Abraham, G. Fainekos





Hybrid Systems

- Combination of continuous and discrete behaviors
- Continuous behaviors "flow"
 - Values of variables gradually change with time
- Discrete behaviors "jump"
 - Variables instantaneously change values
- Hybrid systems are a modeling device
 - Physical entities are continuous
 - Unless quantum effects are considered
 - Discrete changes (e.g., digital computations) take time
 - Hybrid systems abstract away very fast dynamics
 - Simpler models with sufficient accuracy





Example: Bouncing Ball

- Three phenomena:
 - String extension
 - Free fall
 - Collision
- How do we model collision?
 - Dynamics of hitting a wall are quite complex
 - Material deformation?
 - Discontinuous flows using DAEs?







(a) extension

(b) *freefall*

Bouncing Ball Dynamics

- Flows are captured by ODEs
- Jumps use "next value"
- Equations of motion
 - Free fall, $x \ge x_r$

$$m\ddot{x} = F_g = -mg$$

- *m* is mass, g is gravity constant
- Extension, $x \le x_r$

(a) extension

(b) *freefall*

 \widehat{m}

 $X_r + L$



- k is spring constant, d is damping
- Collision, $x = x_r + L$

$$\dot{X}' = -C\dot{X}$$

• c ∈ [0,1] is absorbtion factor







Behavior $(x_r = 0)$





7 PRE CISE

Phase Portrait





8

Hybrid Automata

- States (locations) pass time
 - Variables evolve according to flows
- Transitions are instantaneous and represent jumps



$$x == x_r + L \& v > ($$



9

Hybrid Automata Syntax

- locations $Loc = \{\ell_1, \dots, \ell_m\}$ and variables $X = \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ define the state space $Loc \times \mathbb{R}^X$,
- transitions Edg ⊆ Loc × Lab × Loc define location changes with synchronization labels Lab,
- invariant or staying condition $Inv \subseteq Loc \times \mathbb{R}^X$,
- flow relation Flow, where $Flow(\ell) \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{\hat{X}} \times \mathbb{R}^{X}$, e.g.,

$$\dot{\mathbf{x}} = f(\mathbf{x});$$

• jump relation Jump, where Jump(e) $\subseteq \mathbb{R}^{X} \times \mathbb{R}^{X'}$, e.g.,

$$\mathsf{Jump}(e) = \{ (\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}') \mid \mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{G} \land \mathbf{x}' = r(\mathbf{x}) \},\$$

• initial states Init \subseteq Inv.





Hybrid Automata Semantics

- Hybrid automaton behaviors are given by a set of runs
- A run is an alternating sequence of flows and jumps

$$(\ell_{\mathbb{O}}, \mathbf{x}_{\mathbb{O}}) \xrightarrow{\delta_{\mathbb{O}}, \xi_{\mathbb{O}}} (\ell_{\mathbb{O}}, \xi_{\mathbb{O}}(\delta_{\mathbb{O}})) \xrightarrow{\alpha_{\mathbb{O}}} (\ell_{1}, \mathbf{x}_{1}) \xrightarrow{\delta_{1}, \xi_{1}} (\ell_{1}, \xi_{1}(\delta_{1})) \dots$$

with $(\ell_0, \mathbf{x}_0) \in \text{Init}, \alpha_i \in \text{Lab} \cup \{\tau\}$, and for $i = 0, 1, \ldots$

- 1. Trajectories: $(\dot{\xi}(t), \xi(t)) \in \text{Flow}(\ell)$ and $\xi_i(t) \in \text{Inv}(\ell_i)$ for all $t \in [0, \delta_i]$.
- 2. Jumps: $(\xi_i(\delta_i), \mathbf{x}_{i+1}) \in \text{Jump}(e_i),$ $e_i = (\ell_i, \alpha_i, \ell_{i+1}) \in \text{Edg, and } \mathbf{x}_{i+1} \in \text{Inv}(\ell_{i+1}).$

A state (ℓ, \mathbf{x}) is **reachable** if there exists a run with $(\ell_i, \mathbf{x}_i) = (\ell, \mathbf{x})$ for some *i*.



Phase Portrait





12 PRECISE

Switched Systems

- Jumps in hybrid automata introduce discontinuities in trajectories
 - Often discontinuities are not needed
- A typical control system:



• Modes (locations) are implicit in the controller



13

Outline

- Hybrid systems modeling with hybrid automata
- Property specification with STL
- Set-based reachability analysis
- Simulation-based analysis



Properties

- A property is a set of behaviors
 - A behavior satisfies property *P iff* it is included in the set
 - A system satisfies the property *iff* all its behaviors satisfy P
- Safety vs. liveness
 - Safety: something bad never happens
 - Never hit an obstacle
 - Liveness: something good eventually happens
 - Successfully complete a mission
 - An arbitrary property can be expressed as intersection of a safety and a liveness property
 - Complete a mission while avoiding obstacles





Signal Temporal Logic (STL)

- Properties are temporal relations between signal predicates
- Examples:
 - Velocity will be non-negative until a collision occurs
 - True
 - Collision will not occur
 - False



16

PRECISE



STL Syntax

• Syntax:

$$\varphi := \text{true} \mid X_i \ge 0 \mid \neg \varphi \mid \varphi \land \varphi \mid \varphi \cup_I \varphi$$

- $-X_i$ is a system variable
- / is an interval [a,b]
- U is the *until* operator
- Examples:

Common syntactic sugar: $\Box_{I} \varphi = \text{true } \bigcup_{I} \neg \varphi$ $\Diamond_{I} \varphi = \neg \Box_{I} \neg \varphi$

- Velocity will be non-negative until a collision occurs $v \ge 0$ U_[0, ∞] $x \ge L$
- Collision will not occur

 $\Box_{[0,\infty]} x < L$

• Its negation is a **reachability property**



STL Semantics

- STL formulas are evaluated over execution traces
 - A trace is a set of signals
 - Signal is the value of a variable as a function of time: $\mathbb{R}^{\geq 0}$ → $\mathbb{R}\cup\{\bot, \top\}$
- From runs to traces

$$(\ell_{\mathbb{O}}, \mathbf{x}_{\mathbb{O}}) \xrightarrow{\delta_{\mathbb{O}}, \xi_{\mathbb{O}}} (\ell_{\mathbb{O}}, \xi_{\mathbb{O}}(\delta_{\mathbb{O}})) \xrightarrow{\alpha_{\mathbb{O}}} (\ell_{1}, \mathbf{x}_{1}) \xrightarrow{\delta_{1}, \xi_{1}} (\ell_{1}, \xi_{1}(\delta_{1})) \dots$$

- − For $0 \le t \le \delta_0$, $w(t) = \xi_0(t-\delta_0-...-\delta_i)$
- For $\delta_0 + \dots + \delta_i \le t \le \delta_0 + \dots + \delta_{i+1}$, $w(t) = \xi_{i+1}(t \delta_0 \dots \delta_i)$



Boolean STL Semantics

Syntax: $\varphi := \text{true} | x_i \ge 0 | \neg \varphi | \varphi \land \varphi | \varphi U_I \varphi.$

Boolean Semantics:

$$w, t \models \text{true}$$

$$w, t \models x_i \ge 0 \quad \text{iff} \quad x_i(t) \ge 0$$

$$w, t \models \neg \varphi \quad \text{iff} \quad w, t \not\models \varphi$$

$$w, t \models \varphi \land \psi \quad \text{iff} \quad w, t \models \varphi \text{ and } w, t \models \psi$$

$$w, t \models \varphi \cup_I \psi \quad \text{iff} \quad \exists t' \in t + I : w, t' \models \psi \land$$

$$\forall t'' \in [t, t'] : w, t'' \models \varphi$$



Robustness

 Whenever the signal is below -10 [p₁: x<-10], it will be above 10 within 2 seconds [p₂: x>10]

 $- \Box(p_1 \rightarrow \Diamond_{[0,2]} p_2)$

- Both s₁ and s₂ satisfy the property
- s₂ is not robust
 - Small perturbation will lead to violation







Robustness STL Semantics

Syntax: $\varphi := \text{true} | x_i \ge 0 | \neg \varphi | \varphi \land \varphi | \varphi U_I \varphi.$

Quantitative Semantics: robustness estimation

$$\rho(\operatorname{true}, w, t) = \top$$

$$\rho(x_i \ge 0, w, t) = x_i(t)$$

$$\rho(\neg \varphi, w, t) = -\rho(\varphi, w, t)$$

$$\rho(\varphi \land \psi, w, t) = \min \left\{ \rho(\varphi, w, t), \rho(\psi, w, t) \right\}$$

$$\rho(\varphi \sqcup_I \psi, w, t) = \sup_{t' \in t+I} \min \left\{ \rho(\psi, w, t'), \min_{t'' \in [t, t']} \rho(\phi, w, t'') \right\}$$



Final Comments on Properties

- Checking liveness for hybrid systems is very hard
 - Need to reason about infinite behaviors
 - I.e., loops in the state space
- Bounded liveness is safety
 - A mission will be completed in 10 minutes
- Any safety property can be reduced to reachability checking
 - Using an "observer" technique ask me after class
- Bottom line

Reachability is what we usually check





Reachability Analysis

- Two main techniques
 - Set-based reachability
 - Simulation-based reachability
- Set-based reachability
 - Overapproximation
 - "Safe" means safe
 - Primarily used for verification
- Simulation-based reachability
 - Underapproximation
 - "Unsafe" means unsafe
 - Primarily used for falsification



Outline

- Hybrid systems modeling with hybrid automata
- Property specification with STL
- Set-based reachability analysis
- Simulation-based analysis



Set-Based Reachability

- Extend numerical simulation from points to sets
- Building blocks:

One-step successors by time elapse from set of states S,

$$\mathsf{Post}_{C}(S) = \{ (\ell, \xi(\delta)) \mid \exists (\ell, x) \in S : (\ell, \mathbf{x}) \xrightarrow{\delta, \xi} (\ell, \xi(\delta)) \}.$$

One-step successors by jump from set of states S,

$$\mathsf{Post}_{D}(S) = \left\{ (\ell', \mathbf{x}') \mid \exists (\ell', \mathbf{x}') \in S, \exists \alpha \in \mathsf{Lab} \cup \{\tau\} : \\ (\ell, \mathbf{x}) \xrightarrow{\alpha} (\ell', \mathbf{x}') \right\}$$



Reachability Algorithm

• Basic algorithm:

```
R_{0} = \text{Post}_{C}(\text{Init})
iterate
R_{i+1} = R_{i} \cup \text{Post}_{C}(\text{Post}_{D}(R_{i}))
until R_{i+1} = R_{i}
```

- Deceptively simple
 - If state space is unbounded, may not terminate
 - If jumps are nondeterministic, need to keep a queue of unprocessed states
 - Usually require an additional termination condition
 - Bound elapsed time or number of jumps



Implementing reachability

- Need to choose
 - Representation of R
 - Implementation of Post_c and Post_D
- Depends on the continuous dynamics
 - In most cases, Post_c cannot be computed exactly
 - Need to ensure overapproximation
- Tradeoff between accuracy and scalability



State Set Representations

• Key requirement: shape preservation



- If the shape does not fit, need to overapproximate
- If the shape gets complex, scalability suffers
- Common state representation for linear systems
 - Polyhedra
 - Ellipsoids



Polyhedra

- Halfspace: $\{x \mid Ax \leq b\}$
- Polyhedron: intersection of finitely many halfspaces



- Convex polyhedra
 - Much easier to manipulate
 - Usually introduce more conservatism
 - Or require you to have more of them



Important Classes of Hybrid Systems

- Piecewise-constant dynamics
 - Derivatives of state variables are constrained by constants
 - State set representation: convex polyhedra
- Post_c and Post_D can be computed exactly



Intersect with invariant:

 $\text{post}_{C}(\ell \times P) = \ell \times (P \nearrow \text{Flow}(\ell)) \cap \text{Inv}(\ell).$

 $\mathsf{post}_{\mathcal{D}}(\ell \times P) = \ell' \times (C(\mathcal{P} \cap \mathcal{G}) \oplus \mathcal{W}) \cap \mathsf{Inv}(\ell')$





Important Classes of Hybrid Systems

- Linear hybrid automata
 - Affine dynamics
 - Linear assignments in jumps

- $\dot{\mathbf{x}} = A\mathbf{x} + B\mathbf{u}, \qquad \mathbf{u} \in \mathcal{U}$
- $\mathbf{x}' = C\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{w}, \qquad \mathbf{w} \in \mathcal{W}.$
- Initial states and invariants are convex polyhedra
- Solutions to affine ODEs are exponential functions
 - Need to incorporate effects of inputs $\xi(t)$ from $\xi(0) = \mathbf{x}_0$ for given input signal $\zeta(t) \in \mathcal{U}$ $\xi_{\mathbf{x}_0,\zeta}(t) = e^{At}\mathbf{x}_0 + \int_0^t e^{A(t-s)}B\zeta(s)ds.$

reachable states from set \mathcal{X}_0 for any input signal:

$$\mathcal{X}_t = e^{\mathcal{A}t} \mathcal{X}_0 \oplus \mathcal{Y}_t,$$

 $\mathcal{Y}_t = \int_0^t e^{\mathcal{A}s} \mathcal{U} ds = e^{\mathcal{A}t} \mathcal{X}_0 \oplus \lim_{\delta \to 0} \bigoplus_{k=0}^{\lfloor t/\delta
floor} e^{\mathcal{A}\delta k} \delta \mathcal{U}$



- A flow can be approximated by a single polyhedron
 - Too conservative







- A flow can be approximated by a single polyhedron
 - Too conservative
- Instead, select a time step δ
- Partition flow into time slices





- A flow can be approximated by a single polyhedron
 - Too conservative
- Instead, select a time step δ
- Partition flow into time slices
- Approximate each slice by a polyhedron



34

PRECISE



- A flow can be approximated by a single polyhedron
 - Too conservative
- Instead, select a time step δ
- Partition flow into time slices
- Approximate each slice by a polyhedron





 P_2





PRECISE

SpaceEx

- Extensible platform for verification of continuous and hybrid systems
- Based on a web interface
- Modules
 - Visual model editor
 - Analysis engine
 - APIs for new state representations and set operation implementations
 - Visualization











SpaceEx Models

- Networks of hybrid automata
- Automata specified as parameterized templates





http://spaceex.imag.fr/







Bouncing Ball in SpaceEx



PRECISE

38



Non-Linear Hybrid Systems

- What's missing?
 - Mathematical results that yield geometric abstractions for successor computation
- Polynomial hybrid systems
 - Some support in SpaceEx
- Approximation of non-linear dynamics
 - Polynomial approximations
 - Taylor models polynomial approximations of Taylor expansions
 - Flow* tool next lecture
 - Hybridization
 - Local approximation with simpler dynamics





Hybridization

- Split a flow into multiple subflows with simpler dynamics
 - Time-based or space-based
- Can be done statically or adaptively
 - Trade-off between accuracy and scalability





- Hyst hybrid system model transformation tool
 - Implements static hybridization
 - Generates SpaceEx format
 - <u>http://verivital.com/hyst/</u>





Implicit Hybrid Systems

• Most systems are not designed as hybrid automata



- E.g., Simulink/Stateflow, and many other design tools
- Extracting a hybrid automaton is not easy
 - Requires an accurate and complete translator
 - May not scale



Outline

- Hybrid systems modeling with hybrid automata
- Property specification with STL
- Set-based reachability analysis
- Simulation-based analysis



- Reachability and beyond
- Works on implicit hybrid systems
 - Use simulator within the design tool
 - Or even a real implementation
- Any problem found through simulation is a real problem
 - No inherent conservatism
 - Assuming that simulations are accurate enough
- From flows to sampled traces
 - Analysis becomes monitoring of a trace
- Main challenge
 - Coverage



• Trace violates property □x≤0.9





• Find equivalent initial states





45 PRECISE

• Repeat until desired coverage is reached





The Importance of Robustness

- Allows us to extend analysis from one simulation to a set of simulations
- If a trace s satisfies a property φ with roustness ε
 - Every trace s' no more than ϵ away from s also satisfies φ
- Calculate how variation of initial state influences robustness
- Breach
 - Matlab toolbox
 - Use sensitivity from the ODE solver





Falsification

- (Historic) goal for verification tools
 - Scale up towards exhaustive exploration
- Exhaustive verification rarely succeeds
 - But verification tools are very efficient in finding bugs
- Goal for falsification tools
 - Improve search for counterexamples
- Robustness-driven falsification
 - Select next initial state to minimize robustness
 - Tool: S-TaLiRo





S-TaLiRo

- Falsification based on robustness minimization
 - Matlab toolbox
- Calculation of robustness
 - Dynamic programming formulation
- Calculation of simulation inputs
- Closing the loop
 - Simulator

Penn

Engineering

HW in the loop



https://sites.google.com/a/asu.edu/s-taliro/



Robustness Exploration





50



Summary

- Model checking techniques allow us to analyze properties of system models
- Hybrid systems are widely used to model cyber-physical systems
 - Express rich sets of continuous and discrete behaviors
- Set-based reachability supported by many tools
 - Variety of linear and non-linear dynamics
 - Many different set representations
- Simulation-based analysis allows to check more complex properties
 - Enables effective falsification techniques for black-box systems



