CIS 700/002 : Special Topics : WEP & WPA Attacks

Dagaen Golomb CIS 700/002: Security of EMBS/CPS/IoT Department of Computer and Information Science School of Engineering and Applied Science University of Pennsylvania

10 February 2017





Wired Equivalent Privacy (WEP)

Lets get this out of the way: DON'T USE IT





The Beginning: FMS Attack

- 2001
- Discovery of a correlation between earlier parts of the key on later parts of the key
- First few bytes (3) are easy to obtain since IV is transmitted plain-text and packet headers are predictable
- Start here and work on next byte of key successively



The Beginning: FMS Attack

 $S_{l}[1] < l$ $S_{l}[1] + S_{l}[S_{l}[1]] = l$ $S_{I}^{-1}[X[0]] \neq 1$ $S_{l}^{-1}[X[0]] \neq S_{l}[1]$

 $K = S_l^{-1}[X[0]] - j_l - S_l[l] = S_l^{-1}[S_{l+1}[l]] - j_l - S_l[l]$



Improvement: KoreK Attack

- 2004
- KoreK is the name of a user on a forum who presented 16 additional correlations similar to the FMS attack
- Using the same approach but with all of these correlations, the number of required packets decreases



Further Improvement: PTW Attack

- Previous correlations required several bytes to have a special relationship, and often not change in successive iterations
- PTW attack presented the first correlation that holds for all packets, with no special preconditions.
 - Even if not as individually predictive, the other attacks only apply to a small number of packets
 - Using all packets allows for fewer packets to decrypt key



Cipher/Design Exploit: Chopchop Attack

- This attack relies on the weak CRC checksum and the specified behavior in the WEP standard
- Decrypt last m bytes of a packet with m*128 packets injected into network
- Does not reveal key! Simply decrypts a packet without the key due to cipher/ standard weaknesses



Presented Improvement

- Authors were able to rewrite KoreK correlations into the same general [summation-type] correlation used in PTW – With a few exceptions
- They then perform a PTW-like attack using these added correlations



WPA-TKIP

- Intended to be firmware-upgradable by WEP hardware, so uses a similar RC4 based scheme
- Adds some additional protections
 - Better MIC instead of attackable CRC32 checksum
 - Sequence number (TSC) prevents replay attacks
 - Hashing function is more complicated (every byte depends on entire key instead of XOR byte-by-byte dependence which allowed for the WEP correlation attacks



WPA-TKIP





First WPA Exploit

- Uses same idea as WEP chopchop attack
- TKIP has some attack mitigations
 - Correct packets increment TSC counter and correct packets with lower-than-current TSC are discarded
 - Incorrect ICV \rightarrow packet discarded
 - Correct ICV but incorrect MIC → client issues MIC failure frame to inform AP. 2 such packets within 60 seconds shuts down AP for 60 seconds and keys are renegotiated



Countermeasures

- WEP Don't use it!
 - WPA-TKIP is designed specifically to be compatible with WEP hardware with firmware upgrade
- WPA
 - Use CCMP (or WPA2) instead of TKIP
 - If TKIP must be used, use short key renewal times (120 seconds or less)
 - Disable MIC failure report from clients



