CIS 700/002 : Special Topics : Physical-Cyber Attacks

Sangdon Park CIS 700/002: Security of EMBS/CPS/IoT Department of Computer and Information Science School of Engineering and Applied Science University of Pennsylvania

January 27, 2017





Physical-Cyber Attacks

Definition

A physical-cyber attack is an attack performed in <u>physical</u> space that adversely affects <u>cyberspace</u>.



CIA

- Confidentiality, Integrity, Availability (CIA)
- CIA are properties of system that a designer wants to implement
 - Authentication
 - Encryption



Breaching Availability

- Direct physical damage
 - Physically damage cyber systems (e.g., network cable)





Breaching Availability: Direct physical damage

- Incidents and attacks
 - (Incident, 2001) Fire on fiber-optic cables → loss
 Internet connectivity for 2 days
 - (Incident, 2006) Earthquake near undersea cables → No Internet connection in Hong Kong
 - (Incident, 2008) ship anchors cut network cables →
 Egypt lost Internet connectivity.
 - (Incident, 2011) Damage a fiber-optic cable → loss
 Internet connectivity for 5 hours
 - (Attack, WW1) Britain tried to cut German telecommunication cable
 - (Attack, 2013) Divers tried to cut Egypt's main telecommunication provider





Breaching Availability: Direct physical damage

- Analysis: finding "areas of failure"
 - Gorman et al., 2004; Neumayer et al., 2011; Agarwal et al., 2011



Fig. 1. The fiber backbone operated by a major U.S. network provider [30] and an example of two attacks with probabilistic effects (the link colors represent their failure probabilities).

Agarwal, P. K., Efrat, A., Ganjugunte, S., Hay, D., Sankararaman, S., and Zussman, G. The resilience of WDM networks to probabilistic geographical failures. In Proceeding of INFOCOM, IEEE, pp. 1521 1529, April 2011.

Breaching Availability: Direct physical damage

- Countermeasures
 - Redundant network devices and cables
 - Maximize the network's resilience by considering geographical distribution



Breaching Availability

- Electromagnetic damage
 - Electromagnetic Pulse (EMP) effect





www.wikipedia.com



Breaching Availability: Electromagnetic Damage

- Electromagnetic Damage
 - (Incident, 1962) nuclear test → an EMP damages electrical installations in Hawaii
 - (Incident, 1989) a solar flare → a 12-hour electrical power blackout in Quebec, Canada
 - (Attack, 2003) a US Air Force used High
 Power Microware devices → may be disabled
 Iraqi satellite TV during Iraq War



Breaching Availability: Electromagnetic Damage

- Analysis: Report of the Commission, 2004
 - terrorists may use unsophisticated nuclear weapons for an EMP attack on U.S.

infrastructure



Figure 4. Interdependent Infrastructure Sectors



http://www.empcommission.drg/docs/empc_exec_rpt.pdf



Breaching Availability: Electromagnetic Damage

- Countermeasures
 - Outer EMP protector



– Copper network cables \rightarrow Optical fibers



Breaching Integrity

Physical manipulation of sensor input

LIDAR

Backscatter X-ray







Attack: Zoz, DEFCON 2013
 – Fool LIDAR sensors



Zoz. Hacking Driverless Vehicles. DEFCON 21, 2013.



Attack: Al-Qaida Papers - Drones, 2013
 – 22 tips on avoiding UAV missile strikes





- Countermeasures
 - Infrared radiation
 - Attack: evade detection by hiding behind window glasses
 - Rule-based approaches
 - Set lower and upper limits
 - Learning-based approaches
 - Learn the limits
 - Context-based approaches
 - Temporal context: measurement + forecasted one
 - Redundant sensors



Attack: Mowery et al., USENIX Security 2014
 – Fooling backscatter X-ray imagining tech.



(a) Subject with .380 ACP pistol taped above knee.

(b) Subject with .380 ACP pistol sewn to pant leg.

Mowery, K., Wustrow, E., Wypych, T., Singleton, C., Comfort, C., Rescorla, E., Checkoway, S., Halderman, J. A., and Shacham, H. (2014). Security analysis of a full-body scanner. In 23rd USENIX Security Symposium, August 20 22, 2014, San Diego, CA.

- Countermeasures
 - X-ray: 3D imaging

Backscatter X-ray



Millimeter wave scanner





- Exploit by-products of computations
 - Heat, electrical signals, <u>electromagnetic</u> radiation, <u>light</u>, <u>sounds</u>, and <u>motion</u>
 - Extract information
- Emsec attack
 - An attack that exploits emanation from systems
 - A.k.a. Side channel attacks



• Attack: Crypto system (Bell 131-B2), WW2

SIGTOT teletype system





http://ciphermachines.com/otp



- Attack: Crypto system (Bell 131-B2), WW2
 - electromagnetic from Bell 131-B2 measured by an oscilloscope → decrypt the encrypted texts
 - 75% was recovered
- Countermeasure
 - Use the device only if they had control of an area of 100 feet around them



- Attack: CRT/LCD display
 - Van Eck attacks (1985)
 - Electromagnetic from a CRT TV set → reconstruct images displayed on it
 - Markus Kuhn (2005)
 - Electromagnetic from LCD \rightarrow reconstruct images



- Attack: Kuhn, LCD monitors, 2005.
 - Electromagnetic from LCD connectors → reconstruct images

350 MHz center frequency, 50 MHz bandwidth, 16 (1) frames averaged, 3 m distance





Kuhn, M. G. (2005). Electromagnetic eavesdropping risks of flat-pa@el displays. In Privacy Enhancing Technologies, Springer Berlin Heidelberg, pp. 88 107, January 2005.

- Countermeasure
 - Dutch government's regulation
 - Voting equipments should be protected from leaking information up to 5 meters



- Attack: X-10 devices, DEFCON, 2011.
 - X-10 is a protocol for communication among electronic devices through power lines
 - X-10 signals leak through the power grid → pick up by adversaries in the same neighborhood
- Countermeasure
 - encryption





- Attack: crypto system (e.g., smartcards)
 - Paul Kocher (1999)
 - Power drawn of a smartcard \rightarrow decrypt
 - Clark et al. (2013)
 - The power analysis of a computer → identify web pages visited
 - The power drawn fluctuation and web contents are correlated



• Attack: Kocher et al., CRYPTO, 1999.

- A smartcard for authentication



Figure 7.2 The current fluctuates based on the instruction performed by the device's microprocessor.

Kocher, P., Jaffe, J., and Jun, B. (1999). Differential power analysis. In Advances in Cryptology CRYPTO'99, Springer Berlin Heidelberg. pp. 388 397, January 1999.

- Optical/heat Emsec Attacks
 - Computer monitors
 - Device indicators
 - Human body

— ...



Breaching Confidentiality: Optical Emsec Attacks

- Attacks
 - Telescope \rightarrow see monitors
 - (Attack, S&P 2002) Markus Kuhn
 - Photodetector, out-of-sight, CRT \rightarrow reconstruct images



• Countermeasure: use LCD

Kuhn, M. G. (2005). Electromagnetic eavesdropping risks of flat-panel displays. In Privacy Enhancing Technologies, Springer Berlin Heidelberg, pp. 88 107, January 2005.

Breaching Confidentiality: Optical Emsec Attacks

- Attack: Loughry and Umphress, 2002
 - Blink patterns from indicators on modems→
 the series of zeros and ones
 - Why? LEDs and data transmission circuits share same power source



Fig. 4. Degradation of the optical signal with increasing distance from the target. The data rate was 9600 bits/s.

Loughry, J. and Umphress, D. A. (2002). Information leakage from optical emanations. ACM Transactions on Information and System Security (TISSEC), Volume 5, No. 3, pp. 262 289.

Breaching Confidentiality: Optical Emsec Attacks

- Attack: Mowery et al., 2011.
 - ATM keypads + user's finger + thermal camera → infer keys pressed
 - Why? Thermal residual



Figure 2: The Diebold plastic ATM keypad with rubber keys, model 19-019062-001M REV1.

Countermeasures

 Use metal keypads

Mowery, K., Wustrow, E., Wypych, T., Singleton, C., Comfort, C., Rescorla, E., Checkoway, S., Halderman, J. A., and Shacham, H. (2014). Security analysis of a full-body scanner. In 23rd USENIX Security Symposium, August 20 22, 2014, San Diego, CA.

- Acoustic Emsec Attacks
 - Key typing sounds
 - Sounds from devices



Breaching Confidentiality Acoustic Emsec Attacks

- Attack: British spy, 1956
 - British collects the sounds from encryption devices → spy for Egyptian embassy
- Attack: Asonov and Agrawal, S&P2004; Zhuang et al., 2005
 - Sounds from keyboards \rightarrow infer keys pressed
 - Recover up to 96% of characters
 - Why?
 - Keys are not identical
 - Different physical locations of keys



Breaching Confidentiality Acoustic Emsec Attacks

- Attack: Genkin et al. CRYPTO 2014
 - Sounds from computers \rightarrow extract RSA key



Genkin, Daniel, Adi Shamir, and Eran Tromer. "RSA key extraction3via low-bandwidth acoustic cryptanalysis." International Cryptology Conference. Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 2014.

Breaching Confidentiality Acoustic Emsec Attacks

- Attack: Genkin et al. CRYPTO 2014
 - Why? Correlation between sounds and ops





- Motion Sensor-based Side Channel Attacks
 - Gyroscopes in smartphones
 - Accelerometers in smartphones



Breaching Confidentiality Motion Sensor-based Attacks

- Attack: Marquardt et al., CCS 2011
 - Vibration from accelerometers → identify the words typed
 - Why?





Character pair "nm"

Character pair "pq"

600

Marquardt, P., Verma, A., Carter, H., and Traynor, P. (2011). (sp)iPhone: decoding vibrations from nearby keyboards using mobile phone accelerometers. In Proceedings of the 18th ACM conference on Computer and communications security, ACM, pp. 551 562, October 2011.

Breaching Confidentiality Motion Sensor-based Attacks

- Apps: TouchLogger, ACCessary, <u>TapLogger</u>
 - Motion sensors \rightarrow infer the area tapped



Xu, Zhi, Kun Bai, and Sencun Zhu. "Taplogger: Inferring user inputs on smartphone touchscreens using on-board motion sensors." Proceedings of the fifth ACM conference on Security and Privacy in Wireless and Mobile Networks. ACM, 2012.

Breaching Confidentiality Motion Sensor-based Attacks

- Attack: Gryophone, USENIX Security 2014.
 - Gyroscopes \rightarrow identify speakers or words
 - Why? An acoustic signal affects a gyroscopic measurement



(a) MEMS structure



(b) Driving mass movement depending on the angular rate

Figure 1: <u>STMicroelectronics</u> 3-axis gyro design (Taken from [16]. Figure copyright of STMicroelectronics. Used with permission.)

Michalevsky, Y., Boneh, D., and Nakibly, G. (2014). Gyrophone: Recognizing Speech From Gyroscope Signals. In Proceedings of the 23rd USENIX Security Symposium, August 20 22, 2014.

- Active Emsec Attacks
 - A system's emanations can be artificially simulated





- Active Emsec Attacks
 - Attack, 1996
 - Manipulate the voltage of a device \rightarrow fault
 - Attack, 2002
 - A virus controls LEDs \rightarrow reveals user's typing
 - Attack, 2011
 - Cause to generate electromagnetic emanations → transmit signals
 - Attack, 2013
 - Malwares to form acoustical networks → share information



- Countermeasures in general
 - "Safety-zone"
 - Shielding for electromagnetic emanations
 - Jamming: generate additional emanations
 - Tempest font (1998)
 - blurring fonts → the info. leak through electromagnetic emanations is reduced
 - A cost-effective software-based solution



PCP Attacks

- Physical-cyber-physical (PCP) attack
 - An EMP attack (physical) \rightarrow networks with SCADA (cyber) \rightarrow control a plant (physical)
 - Locate a magnet near an implantable
 defibrillator (physical) → keep triggering the
 data transmission (cyber) → exhuast the
 battary (physical)



CPC Attacks

- Cyber-physical-cyber (CPC) attack
 - Attacks on power grids (cyber) → cut power (physical) → breach network availability (cyber)
 - <u>A virus (cyber)</u> → emanations (physical) → leak information (cyber)
 - (Diao et al., 2014) malware (cyber) →
 generate voice commands (physical) →
 initiate actions (cyber)



CPC Attacks

- Attack: Martinovic et al., USENIX Security 2012
 - A malware displays a malicious image (cyber)
 → triggers the user brain (physical) → braincomputer-interfaces log private information (cyber)



(b) A MindSet device (NeuroSky)



Martinovic, I., Davies, D., Frank, M., Perito, D., Ros, T., and Song, D. (2012). On the feasibility of side-channel attacks with braincomputer interfaces. In Proceedings of the 21st USENIX Security Symposium, pp. 143 158, August 2012.

Take-home message

- Physical-cyber attacks can be distinguishing features of CPS security
- Software-based solutions look attractive as countermeasures



